

Renal replacement therapy (RRT) in management of poisonings

Dominique VODOVAR

Fédération de Toxicologie de l'Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris

Hôpital Lariboisière Fernand-Widal ASSISTANCE ASSISTANCE HÔPITAUX PUBLIQUE DE PARIS





No conflicts of interest to declare





Introduction

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

REVIEW ARTICLE

Julie R. Ingelfinger, M.D., Editor

Extracorporeal Kidney-Replacement Therapy for Acute Kidney Injury

Stéphane Gaudry, M.D., Ph.D., Paul M. Palevsky, M.D., and Didier Dreyfuss, M.D.

N ENGL J MED 386;10 NEJM.ORG MARCH 10, 2022

Table 2. Indications for KRT in Critically Ill Patients.* Urgent indications in patients with AKI Refractory, severe hyperkalemia↑ Refractory, severe metabolic acidosis↑ Refractory, severe pulmonary edema↑ Uremic complications: pericarditis, bleeding, and encephalopathy‡ Nonurgent indications Persistent, severe AKI with blood urea nitrogen level >112 mg/dl, oliguria or anuria for more than 72 hr, or both§ No indications Severe AKI (KDIGO stage 3) in the absence of complications¶ Sepsis in the absence of complicated AKI

Indications for RRT in the context of poisoning, apart from DETOXIFICATION, are the same as other critical states

Introduction

(A) RIFLE

Gil et al. 2009 [15] - Paraquat (20)	F	3.46 [0.38;6.53]
Kim et al. 2009 [18] – Paraquat (173)	⊢ ∎−1	2.83 [2.03;3.63]
Kim et al. 2011 [17] - Paraquat (247)	-∎-1	2.37 [1.66;3.08]
Brusin et al. 2012 [13] - Acetic acid (352)	┝╼╋╾┥	2.17 [1.46;2.89]
Brusin et al. 2012 [14] - Acetic acid (400)	┝╋┥	2.95 [2.31;3.60]
RE Model (1192) I²=7.88% Q=3.5491 p=0.5	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	2.60 [2.23;2.97]
	Observed mortality	

(B) AKIN

Noon et al. 2009 [28] - Endosulfan (52)	₽₽₽	1.61 [0.29;2.92]
D'Riordan et al. 2011 [29] - Paracetamol (302)	⊢⊷⊣	4.05 [1.25;6.84]
Neng et al. 2012 [34] – Paraquat (187)		1.30 [0.69;1.91]
.iu et al. 2014 [24] - Paraquat (184)	⊢	5.43 [2.62;8.24]
Albuquerque et al. 2014 [21] - Miscellaneous snakebites (272)	⊢ • <u>−</u> −1	-0.47 [-3.41;2.47]
Fengjun et al. 2015 [22] – Paraquat (118)		1.07 [0.32;1.83]
Krishnamurthy et al. 2015 [23] – Russell's Viper (61)	l <u>⊨</u> ∎−−	2.51 [-0.46;5.48]
Nohamed et al. 2015 [25] - Paraquat (50)	⊢ ∎	2.47 [-0.44;5.37]
Nohamed et al. 2015 [27] - Paraquat (66)	}_ ∎	3.02 [0.15;5.89]
Ahn et al. 2016 [20] – Miscellaneous (157)	⊞	2.62 [1.45;3.79]
Nohamed et al. 2016 [26] - Glyphosate (90)	⊢ ∎−1	2.47 [-0.46;5.39]
Dliveira filho et al. 2016 [30] - Miscellaneous snakebites (320)	È∎-	1.70 [-0.29;3.68]
Frakulsrichai et al. 2017 [31] - Zinc Phosphide (455)		2.65 [1.85;3.44]
Frakulsrichai et al. 2017 [32] - Amanita (54)	┝╼┥	3.57 [1.43;5.72]
Neng et al. 2017 [33] – Paraquat (222)		1.23 [0.68;1.79]
Nijerathna et al. 2019 [35] - Gloriosa superba (45)	⊢ •−1	2.29 [-0.99;5.56]
RE Model (2635)	•	2.02 [1.48;2.56]
12-54 7% O=31 1179 p=0 008		
1-04.7% Q=01.1179 p=0.000		
	-4 -2 0 2 4 6 8 10	
	Observed mortality	
<mark>90</mark>	:	
.i et al. 2016 [41] - Snakebite (119)	⊢ −−−−	3.00 [-0.25;6.24]
Kim et al. 2018 [37] - Carbon monoxide (661)		3.36 [1.23;5.48]
Albuquerque et al 2018 [36] - Loxelicism (45)	⊢ −−−−	3.78 [0.59;6.97]

(C) KDIO Lee et al. 2019 [38] - Glufosinate (110) Lee et al. 2019 [39] - Dapsone (106) Rogliano et al. 2019 [43] - Miscellaneous (273) Song et al. 2019 [44] - Paraquat (110) Trakulsrichai et al. 2019 [45] - Paraguat (36)

RE Model (1460)

I2=0.00% Q= 2.2247 p=0.94

24 2.43 [0.98;3.88] 3.71 [2.45;4.96] 3.05 [1.96;4.14] 3.27 [1.96;4.57] 3.83 [1.49;6.16] 3.22 [2.65;3.78] -2 Observed mortality

REVIEW

Clinical

Relationship between acute kidney injury and mortality Toxicology in poisoning – a systematic review and metanalysis Dominique Vodovar^{a,b,c}, Hugo Peyre^{c,d,e} and Bruno Mégarbane^{b,c,f} (iD

> CLINICAL TOXICOLOGY 2021, VOL. 59, NO. 9, 771-779

International classifications linking AKI severity with mortality are also valid in the context of poisoning

I Introduction

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

REVIEW ARTICLE

Julie R. Ingelfinger, M.D., Editor

Extracorporeal Kidney-Replacement Therapy for Acute Kidney Injury

Stéphane Gaudry, M.D., Ph.D., Paul M. Palevsky, M.D., and Didier Dreyfuss, M.D.

N ENGLJ MED 386;10 NEJM.ORG MARCH 10, 2022

The remainder of this presentation

will focus on the indications for RRT, in the context of poisoning, with the aim of ExtraCorporeal Toxin Removal (ECTR)



REVIEW

Relationship between acute kidney injury and mortality in poisoning – a systematic review and metanalysis Dominique Vodovar^{a,b,c}, Hugo Peyre^{c,d,e} and Bruno Mégarbane^{b,c,f}

> CLINICAL TOXICOLOGY 2021, VOL. 59, NO. 9, 771–779











Can toxicity be minimized by a treatment that limits absorption or increases elimination?

Activated charcoal



GI decontamination by activated charcoal



- Not for all exposures = presumed toxic dose
- Carbo-absorbable toxins i.e. soluble / not ions (lithium)
- Contraindications :
 - Compromised airways (disturbed consciousness +++) unless patient intubated
 - Hydrocarbons, foaming agents
 - Risk of digestive perforation/hemorrhage
 - Urgent endoscopy



Position Paper: Single-Dose Activated Charcoal

Clinical Toxicology

American Academy of Clinical Toxicology & European Association of Poisons Centres and Clinical Toxicologists



Position Statement and Practice Guidelines on the Use of Multi-Dose Activated Charcoal in the Treatment of Acute Poisoning

American Academy of Clinical Toxicology, European Association of Poisons Centres and Clinical Toxicologists



Can toxicity be minimized by a treatment that limits absorption or increases elimination?









II GI decontamination by polyethylene glycol (PEG)

- Not for all exposures = presumed toxic dose
- No carbo-absorbable toxins
- Contraindications :
 - Compromised airways (disturbed consciousness +++) unless patient intubated
 - Hydrocarbons, foaming agents
 - Risk of digestive perforation/hemorrhage
 - Urgent endoscopy



Recommended

Iron - Lithium - Potassium

NG tube Irrigant solution in reservoir bag IV extension tubing Junction of IV and NG tubes

Source: Reichman EF: Emergency Medicine Procedures, Second Edition: www.accessemergencymedicine.com Copyright © The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. All rights reserved.













Is the toxin theoretically eliminated by a RRT method?

Volume of distribution



Volume of distribution



B

Is the toxin theoretically eliminated an RRT method?

Volume de distribution



Molecular weight





Observed



Is the substance theoretically eliminated by an ECTR technique?

Volume de distribution



Molecular weight



III Plasma protein binding

Plasma protein binding





Is the endogenous clearance of the toxin < 4 ml/min/kg?



2

2

Is the endogenous clearance of the toxin < 4 ml/min/kg?

Metformine

	ECTR C	ECTR Clearance (mL/min)		
Type of ECTR	Median	Range	n	
Endogenous (normal glomerular filtration rate, therapeutic dose)	500			
Hemodialysis	148	68-228	10	





Does ECTR improve clinical symptoms and/or outcome?

Eliminating the toxin is all well and good, but it must improve the patient's clinical condition.

No randomized controlled trial in clinical toxicology = case reports / retrospective series

Not all patients will benefit = which patients will benefit from ECTR? = what criteria = clinical? dosage? biological abnormalities?

Lithium	Xenobiotic	MW (Da)	Vd(L/kg)	Protein Bound (%)
	Lithium	6.94	0.6-0.9	0



Does ECTR improve clinical symptoms and/or outcome?



Blood Purification in Toxicology: Reviewing the Evidence and Providing Recommendations

General Recommendations

• ECTR is recommended in patients with severe Li poisoning (1D)

Indications

ECTR is recommended

- If kidney function is impaired and the [Li+].>4.0 mEq/L (1D)
- In the presence of a decreased level of consciousness, seizures, or life-threatening dysrhythmias irrespective of [Li+] (1D)

ECTR is suggested

- if the [Li+].>5.0 mEq/L (2D)
- If confusion is present (2D)
- If the expected time to obtain a [Li+]<1.0 mEq/L with optimal management is >36 h (2D)

If EXTRIP+ criteria has been strictly followed

Finally, 101/128 patients would have been treated with ECTR if *All-EXTRIP_{int}* has been strictly followed; 22 were actually treated with ECTR

	7		
N=101	ECTR +	ECTR -	
N (%)	22 (22)	79 (78)	
Severe poisoning, N (%)	18 (81)	29 (36)***	
Death, N (%)	1 (5)	3 (4)	
Persistent neurological impairment on ICU discharge, N (%)	3 (14)	20 (26)	
Both, N (%)	4 (19)	23 (30)	
Length of ICU stay, days	14 [7; 21]	6[3;11]**	





IV Which RRT method(s) for ECTR?



IV Which RRT technique(s) for ECTR?





However, at the present time, there is no scientific evidence to support the superior efficacy of these techniques in terms of elimination of the toxin or decreased severity of the clinical features or morbidity and mortality.

IV Which RRT method(s) for ECTR?

Albumine dialysis



IV Which RRT method(s) for ECTR?

Extracorporeal Albumin Dialysis in Three Cases of Acute Calcium Channel Blocker Poisoning With Life-Threatening Refractory Cardiogenic Shock

Nicolas Pichon, MD, Anthony Dugard, MD, Marc Clavel, MD, Jean Bernard Amiel, MD, Bruno François, MD, Philippe Vignon, MD, PhD



Hours from hospital admission

IV Which RRT method(s) for ECTR?



Time from ingestion (hours)



Mixed amlodipine/valsartan overdose treated by the molecular adsorbent recirculating system (MARS[™])

1,5 mg eliminated

1 tablet = 5 or 10 mg !

Ludovic Gérard, Anne-Cécile Galloy, Arnaud Capron & Philippe Hantson





V Who should be dialyzed?



THE EXTRACORPOREAL TREATMENTS IN POISONING WORKGROUP

Recommendations from international experts

Based on a highly rigorous methodology

Most recommendations are based on a low level of evidence

All require optimal treatment prior to initiation of hemodialysis

V Who should be dialyzed?

	Clinique	Biologique	Analytique	Durée
		AKI KDIGO 2 <mark>AND</mark> [Li+] > 4		[l i+] < 1 mmol/l
Lithium (Li)	Coma OR Seizures OR Dysrythmia		[Li+] > 5 mmol/l	Hemodialysis duration
		Decrease in [Li+] elim	Decrease in [Li+] elimination over 36 hours	
Metformine	Shock OR coma	Lactate > 20 mmol/L OR pH ≤ 7.0 OR AKI OR ALF		Lactate < 3 mmol/L AND pH > 7.35
Salycilates	_		[salicylates] > 7.2 mmol/L	Clinical improvement AND
	Decrease in consciousness OR hypoxemia	AKI KDIGO 2 AND [salicylates] > 6.5 mmol/L		[salicylate] < 1.4 mmol/l OR Hemodialysis duration
		pH ≤ 7.2		at least 6 hours
Phenobarbital	Prolonged coma OR Shock		[phénobarbital] stagnates or	
	Respiratory depression requiring intubation		increases despite repeated administration of CA	Clinical improvement
Valproate (VPA)	Shock OR Cerebral oedema	pH ≤ 7.1 Hyperammoniemia	[VPA] > 1300 mg/L	Clinical improvement
	Chest tube insertion for coma		[VPA] > 900 mg/L	OU [VPA] entre 50-100 mg/l





Thank you for your attention

dominique.vodovar@aphp.fr

Fédération de Toxicologie de l'Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Paris

Hôpital Lariboisière Fernand-Widal ASSISTANCE ASSISTANCE HÔPITAUX PUBLIQUE DE PARIS

